

Received by email

Dear Madam / Sirs,

Please find below some reactions from Febeliec on the abovementioned consultation.

Kind regards,

1. General feedback on ZIGMA:

We welcome the approach to combine all these entry and/or exit point within ZIGMA. But we do not see the necessity to have 2 Virtual Trading Points (VTP) within Belgium and would prefer to have only one (in case of having only ZTP all products actually traded at Zeebrugge Beach would have to be made available at ZTP).

2. BALANCING model compliant with BAL Network Code:

Yes

3. Balancing contract separate or within IAA:

The balancing contract could be included within the IAA in case it is set up in form of an election sheet (e.g. like EFET).

4. WDOs:

Yes

5. Proposals Sections 3,4 & 5 compliant with CAM Network Code:

-

6. Support quantity of capacity:

-

7. Support single-sided nominations for unbundled capacity:

Yes

8. Support that surrendered capacity not reallocated in auction is not automatically rolled to future auctions:

Yes

9. Any other feedback:

-

Peter Claes*

on behalf of Febeliec

Federation of Belgian Industrial Energy Consumers

Diamant Building

Bld A. Reyers, 80

B-1030 BRUSSELS

☎ +32 496 59 36 20

febeliac@febeliac.be

www.febeliac.be

* acting as Manager of Eenergy VOF, General Manager of Febeliec

Febeliec represents the industrial consumers of electricity and natural gas in Belgium.

